

## SPECIAL MEETING – CITY COUNCIL

-MAY 1, 2003-

A Special meeting of the Cranston City Council was held on Thursday, May 1, 2003 in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Cranston, Rhode Island.

The meeting was called to order at 6:10 P.M. by Council President Pastore.

Roll Call showed the following members present: Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Councilwoman O’Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilwoman McFarland (appeared at 6:30 P.M.), Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore –8.

Absent: Councilman Ferolito -1.

Also Present: Mayor Stephen P. Laffey; Paul Grimes, Director of Administration; Robin Muksian-Schutt, Deputy Director of Administration; Mike Igoe, City Controller; Jerome Baron, Finance Director; Jametta Alston, City Solicitor; Marco Schiappa, Public Works Director; School Committee Members: Jacqueline White, Edward Eramian and Michael Cardarelli; Superintendent Catherine Ciarlo; Assistant Superintendent Joseph Cofone; Business Administrator Joseph Balducci; Transportation Director Joel Zisseron.

Minutes of the last meeting were dispensed with and stand approved as recorded.

**-MAY 1, 2003-**

The City Clerk read the following:

CITY OF CRANSTON  
RHODE ISLAND

CALL OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

In accordance with the Home Rule Charter and Section 3.07 thereof, I hereby call a special meeting of the City Council to be held on Thursday, May 1, 2003 at 6:00 P.M. in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Cranston, RI at which meeting the following items of business shall be transacted:

Introduction of Ordinance Authorizing Issuance of Bonds for School Facilities and a Resolution to Amend Loan Order 2000-69.

And I hereby request the City Clerk to notify all Council members of the Call of the special meeting.

Executed at Cranston, Rhode Island, this 29<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2003.

/s/ Stephen P. Laffey

Mayor

**-MAY 1, 2003-**

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that a true copy of the attached Call of a special meeting of the City Council to be held on Thursday, May 1, 2003 at 6:00 P.M. in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Cranston, R.I. was delivered via electronic mail on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2003 and regular mail, postage prepaid to the addresses listed below.

Council President Peter T. Pastore, Jr.  
14 Firglade Dr.  
Cranston, RI 02921  
[Ppastore@randolphsavings.com](mailto:ppastore@randolphsavings.com)

Councilman Marc D. Ferolito  
[mferolito@msn.com](mailto:mferolito@msn.com)

Council Member Cynthia M. Fogarty  
[Fogarty1@msn.com](mailto:Fogarty1@msn.com)

Councilman Allan W. Fung  
[alfung@cox.net](mailto:alfung@cox.net)

Councilwoman Ellen O'Hara  
[ellencohara@cox.net](mailto:ellencohara@cox.net)

Councilman Kirk McDonough  
67 Dellwood Rd.  
Cranston, RI 02920  
[kirkmcdonough@cox.net](mailto:kirkmcdonough@cox.net)

Councilwoman Paula McFarland  
[pmcfarland@prodigy.net](mailto:pmcfarland@prodigy.net)

Councilman Randall A. Jackvony  
[rjackvony@cox.net](mailto:rjackvony@cox.net)

Councilman John E. Lanni, Jr.  
111 Woodview Dr.  
Cranston, RI 02920  
[Jelan1@aol.com](mailto:Jelan1@aol.com)

/s/ Maria Medeiros Wall, City Clerk

**-MAY 1, 2003-**

Councilman Jackvony stated that Councilman Ferolito had a previous engagement and cannot attend this meeting.

Council President Pastore stated that we need to research whether we can discuss the proposed Resolution. Ms. Alston stated that what is listed on the special meeting notice is what can be discussed. The Resolution was not listed on the notice. It may cause a problem with the Open Meetings Act.

Council President Pastore stated that the Resolution introduced by the Administration regarding the training school cannot be entertained this evening. Councilman McDonough stated that he submitted it for the regular docket and not for tonight's meeting.

Council President Pastore asked that the City Clerk read the title of Resolution for issuance of bonds, for the records.

**“RESOLUTION LOAN ORDER AMENDING LOAN ORDER NO. 2001-69 AUTHORIZING THE ISSUE OF BONDS OF THE CITY FOR THE RENOVATION, REHABILITATION, REPAIR, IMPROVEMENT, FURNISHING AND EQUIPPING OF SCHOOLS AND SCHOOL FACILITIES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ADDITIONS TO CRANSTON HIGH SCHOOL EAST AND THE WESTERN HILLS MIDDLE SCHOOL AND IMPROVEMENTS TO THE PARK VIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL IN THE CITY: AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO APPLY FOR FEDERAL AND STATE ADVANCES FOR THAT PURPOSE: AUTHORIZING THE ISSUE OF TEMPORARY NOTES FOR THAT PURPOSE: AND AUTHORIZING ADVANCES FROM THE GENERAL TREASURY FOR THAT PURPOSE”.**

Mayor Laffey appeared to speak and gave overview of what he thinks is a solution to the issue of the completion of the new school. He stated the following reasons why we need to do this tonight:

- We do not want to delay any securing the funding for the new school and secure building is done on time.
- We have to get this voted on before July 1.
- We want to send a message to the contractor that we are serious about completing the school.

Councilwoman O'Hara asked what was the bond specifically for that we are repealing for \$2.9 million. She also asked what will not be done by repealing this bond? Mr. Zisseron stated that the majority of this money was going to be used to replace windows and masonry work on the older buildings. There are schools that should be tended to with masonry work and new windows and they try to do a lot of repairs in-house. Councilwoman O'Hara asked what the timetable would have been for beginning these projects. Mr. Zisseron stated that they try to average approximately \$1.5 million a year and depending on the school and how big a project.

Councilwoman O'Hara asked, in terms of the \$13.8 million bond, by reducing it, what would this represent? Mr. Zisseron stated that by reducing it, we would be able to do Park View Middle School, Cranston High School East and no monies left for any repairs and renovations until next year and Western Hills Middle School would have to be put on the back burner.

-MAY 1, 2003-

Councilman Jackvony asked if the revocation of the \$2.9 million is going to be a separate question on the ballot. Ms. Alston stated that her understanding from Bond Counsel is that the question we submit to the voters is we have to get permission to borrow more money. The revocation, the City has the power to do that since we would be saving that money.

Mr. Grimes stated that the repealing of the \$2.9 million is done by Ordinance and would be introduced and done internally in the City by Ordinance.

Ms. Alston stated that the City would have to ask permission from the State for more money and Ordinance is passed and then you go for loan order. If you are reducing the debt, you go back to the State to notify them that we are reducing the loan order. The rescinding Ordinance, we can just table it until after the election, just in case the voters do not approve the bond.

Councilman Jackvony asked if this is acceptable to the contractor as to the plan for new bond to be voted on by the voters. Ms. Alston stated that we are not breaking the contract, we are in discussion with the contractor and they are amicable discussions.

Councilman Jackvony asked if that money could be taken out of the interest free bond. He would like to have the City and School Department have the flexibility to have the interest free bond.

Councilwoman McFarland stated that we have no Resolution or Ordinance before us rescinding anything. There are only requests for approval for authorization to put a bond out to the voters.

Council President Pastore stated that Resolution amending loan order 2001-69 was published as referencing loan order 2000-69. Ms. Alston stated that the correct loan order number should be 2000-69. Council President Pastore asked if this would have an impact on the Council voting on this. Ms. Alston stated that no, notice talks about Resolution and loan Ordinance and would not impact on the vote taken on this.

Council President Pastore passed gavel to Council Vice-President O'Hara.

Ms. Alston stated that Charter states that notice must state nature of the business to be discussed and it is very clear of the business we are discussing tonight.

Council President Pastore stated that the Schedule presented to the Finance Committee last night regarding overall project and what is presented tonight, is approximately \$500,000 less. He asked what caused this change from yesterday to today? Ms. Schiappa stated that cost has not changed from yesterday to today, the change is where the funds are going to come from. Recommendations were made by the Council of approximately \$150,000 taken out of the General Fund.

Council President Pastore stated the following concerns:

- Appropriation of \$500,000 in contingencies unacceptable to place in a bond issue.
- Special election using bond issue he finds unacceptable.
- Contingency of \$500,000 whether we are going to use it or not.
- What is the interest expense – year to date.

Mr. Schiappa stated \$376,000 is paid to date and \$120,000 is balance of what is expected for next four months.

-MAY 1, 2003-

Council President Pastore stated that the revised May 1 estimated cost to build the new school is \$11,242,947 via Mayor's Staff Report. If you take the \$937,000 and subtract from the bond order the net difference is \$377,947, but reduction in Resolution is \$343,000. There is a \$35,000 difference. Mr. Schiappa stated that the proper number that should be in the Resolution is \$13,522,000 plus removing from other bond of \$2.9 million.

Council President Pastore asked if any discussion has been made by the School Committee if it is prudent to hire outside party to determine the cost of the new school. Ms. Ciarlo stated that no discussion has been held other than the one she had today. The design of the school was sufficient, the problem is that the land that was purchased was to be more than enough for the new school, but they did not realize the water problems that existed there. With all the delays, construction was not begun until 2002. At the last School Committee meeting, this issue was not discussed. She stated that the School Department just found out this afternoon what the final number was. She stated that the School Department feels comfortable right now that this approach is prudent.

Council President Pastore stated that before putting this forward to the voters, we need to make sure we have finalized the cost of the project to validate the actual amounts for the projects. It is his feelings that:

- 1) We have an outside firm engaged to finalize the actual cost of the project.
- 2) Special election and other contingencies should not be factored into the bond.
- 3) We tidy up the forensic aspects.

Mr. Grimes stated that we are not going to have time to get an independent report and meet the time line. A problem with the contractor and School sitting there and gathering mold. He would agree to this being done simultaneously while going forward. This is a housekeeping issue for all capital accounts to reduce the amounts of bonding authority.

Council President Pastore stated that his fear is if we do not have a handle on the total cost, we will be jeopardizing the approval of this bond issue by obtaining third party to obtain actual costs, even if it means delaying the completion of the project. Feels there is an accountability issue here. Prudent to catch our own breath.

School Committee Member White stated that if you leave the \$40,000 in this referendum for special election, voters will not approve it. If we tell voters that other projects will not start or be finished, then the voters will not approve it. We have 2000 children that would be effected if that school does not open in September. What the City should do is hire someone to find out what happened to the money that was supposed to be available for this school.

Steven Angell, Chairman of the Financial Review Board, appeared to speak and stated that he met Tuesday with the School Department along with Mr. Schiappa and Mr. Baron. He stated that Mr. Zisseron provided him with an itemization of what he thinks the cost of completion of the project would be and that was \$3.3 million based on document prepared by Mr. Schiappa based on his review of the scope of the project. He agrees that overall review of the project cost should be done, but we need this building to get done. Agrees with Mr. Grimes that an independent review should be done on a parallel basis.

Council President Pastore stated that the numbers have changed from what was presented yesterday to today. He has a problem with adding \$540,000 in contingencies and special election costs in a capital bond and not knowing what we are spending. Doesn't believe should fund soft costs in the bond. If voters deny, September opening date is still a problem.

-MAY 1, 2003-

Mr. Angell stated that as to the \$540,000, it is this body prerogative to re-allocate the soft costs into the current Budget. The special election amount does not belong in a bond issue.

Mr. Baron stated that the changes are primarily the abatement to the General Fund and came about because of the discussion at the Finance Committee meeting last night. As to the contingency, it could be addressed by requiring some specific approval by the Council before it is borrowed. If you object to it, take it out. Council President asked when plan to turn BAN into bond?

Gavel was passed back to the Council President.

Councilman McDonough asked if there is any contingency set forth if this bond does not pass. Mr. Grimes stated that if this bond does not pass, you have a very large monument or the City can pass a supplemental tax to finish this project.

Councilwoman McFarland asked Mr. Angell what his recommendation is if the voters do not pass this bond authority. Mr. Angell stated that he is opposed to supplemental increase. Councilwoman McFarland asked if Mr. Angell went over the 1994 bond to see what was expended and what it was used for. Mr. Angell stated that the City's Finance Department did not account for what each bond was for. What it as spent on, we do not know. Auditor General has come in and will verify and report on what money was spent on. We have verified what monies are there. He senses it was not properly accounted.

Councilwoman McFarland stated that inter-fund transferring went on until 1996/1998, and asked if there was a charter amendment on this.

**Albert Benetti**, 24 Concord Ave., appeared to speak and stated that the Charter Review Commission did put in language giving the Mayor authority to use bond money. The Mayor could use bond money, but within five days, he would have to notify the School Committee or Council, depending on which bond was being borrowed.

Councilwoman McFarland asked what is the anticipated cost of securing the property and empty building, if this project is not completed. Mr. Schiappa stated that we have to provide insurance for the building, because it is carried by the contractor right now. There is major cost in making sure that what we have is maintained internally and also security for outside of the building. Need water for sprinkler system to maintain insurance. Councilwoman McFarland asked where these costs to secure the empty building would come from, since we have not explored this in detail. Mr. Schiappa stated that other costs would be location fees, outstanding bills and maintaining and securing the empty building.

Councilwoman McFarland brought to the attention of the other Council members that if this bond is not passed, we may have to have another supplemental tax, just to secure this building.

Councilwoman O'Hara asked what the qualifications would be for a third party person to get actual cost of the project. She also asked if the Auditor General or his staff have these qualifications and could they do this. Mr. Angell stated that this type of audit (project audit) is not something the Auditor General could do. This is something he is familiar with

-MAY 1, 2003-

Councilwoman O'Hara asked if it would be unreasonable to speculate to get an individual to audit these figures in a timely manner before the next Ordinance Committee meeting. Council President Pastore stated that we would have to check into this, he suggested someone like Ohlberg & Sons.

Councilman Fung asked if the Council is voting on both the Resolution and the Ordinance presented tonight. Ms. Alston stated that pursuant to Section 12.04 of the Charter, the Council could hear the matter tonight and pass it tonight.

Councilman Fung stated that one reason he is voting on this tonight is the Mayor's Staff Report states that State Aid reimbursement is going to end June, 2003. If we do not act tonight, we are going to lose this money from the State. The contractor has threatened to demobilize, he is not going to come back and that is going to add more costs. There will be potential liability if the project is halted.

Ms. Alston stated that there could be potential litigation and liability if this project is halted. If this school is allowed to sit without proper waterlines and maintaining the climate in the building, the building will build up mold. There is no need to have the independent person evaluate the costs during the process of bond issue. To delay this process, the money should be spent during the 50 day waiting period.

Councilman Lanni stated that in two days, we have eliminated over \$1 million from the project. He stated that he wished the School Committee would have discussed this project with the Council earlier.

Council Member Fogarty stated that on Monday night, the Council was told that the Auditor General would be looking at bond balances. She asked when this process will occur. Mr. Baron stated that the process is starting tomorrow morning. Council Member Fogarty stated that last night, the Council was told that if the work at Cranston High School East is not completed, it would impact the school's accreditation. Mr. Zisseron stated that \$400,000 comes from furnishings. Mr. Baron stated that this is from the bond for the new school (2000-40). Mr. Zisseron stated that Park View Middle School will be done, as to Cranston High School East we are going to be very careful on what we do for that school.

Council Member Fogarty stated that she came to this meeting planning on not voting on this, but during the course of the evening, she has decided to let the electors decide if they want this passed or not. She is not going to propose another supplemental tax. Mayor Laffey stated that he is in no way going to propose another supplemental tax. He stated that rescinding the bond and approving the passing of the bond for the new school is totally separate.

Councilwoman O'Hara stated that if this Ordinance comes to a vote, she would like to make the following amendments to the Ordinance:

- 1) Reduce the bonding authority by \$540,000 taking out contingencies and special election for \$40,000.
- 2) Add new Section 12 – "Administration is hereby authorized and directed to retain a qualified firm to conduct a performance audit to ascertain certain material and construction costs prior to the borrowing of the monies authorized."

-MAY 1, 2003-

Council President Pastore asked Solicitor's advice to the Council later on sufficient language in the proposed Resolution to meet requirement of Section 12.04 of the Charter and if this was posted as emergency borrowing. Ms. Alston asked Council President for a few minutes to check into this and she will report back on this later on in the meeting.

PUBLIC SPEAKERS:

**Michael Cardarelli** appeared to speak and stated that he is disappointed in the lack of communication between the City Administration and School Administration and the tone in the comments made by Administrative staff. In regards to the price of the project, he stated that what is not actually portrayed to the lay people is "less previous authority money to buy the land. In regards to the change orders, he stated that the School Buildings Committee made those recommendations and the City approved it, not the School Committee. Mr. Baron stated that he is sure that sometime in the future, a change order will come before the Board of Contract and Purchase for \$26,696 for remedial work that no one in the City has been advised of in any manner. Mr. Cardarelli stated that no changes or projects has to be approved by the Board of Contract and Purchase, the City, not the School Committee approves these. Mr. Schiappa stated that the Board of Contract and Purchase has not approved any of these extras and these extras are in place, but have not been approved.

**Thomas Ricci**, 75 Knight St., appeared to speak and stated that if you are going to ask for referendum, address all the needs of the City schools, include the eastern side of the City.

**Arika Bedrosian**, Cranston High School East student, appeared to speak and stated that the Council should not take away from the schools on the eastern side of the City. There is a lot of work that needs to be done on the eastern side.

**Gudra Bedrosian** appeared to speak and asked that the Council set aside their difference and their politics and think what is more important. She also questioned if it is possible to get more funding from the State, since this is an emergency.

**Albert Benetti**, 24 Concord Ave., appeared to speak and stated that even with amendments, the Council has no choice but to go forward and place this before the voters. There was a lot of discussion on bond balances, it would have been a lot helpful to have some type of a flow chart to make it easier to understand. He also asked if Gladstone School would be effected. Mr. Zisseron stated that with what the Council is doing tonight, the City will have \$10,800,000 to do two projects – Cranston High School East and Park View Middle School. Mr. Benetti stated that if the Council wants to pass this, a summary of what exactly will and will not happen needs to be provided to the voters. We had a supplemental tax increase, a normal tax increase in July – we need to free up some money from the Operating Budget. That free up has to go back to the contractual Unions. We could actually use this freed up money for some of these projects.

**Richard Tomlins**, 400 Farmington Ave., appeared to speak and stated we hear that the School Department is not responsible, the Public Works Department is not responsible and the Council is not responsible. Who is responsible to the taxpayers? If this bond does not pass, you develop an audit not only on this, but a forensic audit for the whole City and if we do not, these problems will happen again and again.

-MAY 1, 2003-

Council President Pastore stated that he and the Mayor met with the Superintendent and asked that Mr. Balducci do a survey on how other cities and towns handle the purchasing and contract and building inspection aspects.

Councilwoman McFarland asked what the amount to repay advances is in Section 1 of the Ordinance. When making amendments, she suggests striking this language. Mr. Baron stated that bond counsel prepared this Ordinance and he would have to check into this.

Ms. Alston addressed the opinion requested by the Council President earlier. She stated that second and third paragraph of the Resolution clearly establishes emergency need.

**“ORDINANCE LOAN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF CRANSTON TO FINANCE THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, REHABILITATION, REPAIR, IMPROVEMENT, FURNISHING AND EQUIPPING OF AND PROVISION OF UTILITIES TO SCHOOLS AND SCHOOL FACILITIES AND TO REPAY ADVANCES FROM THE CITY’S GENERAL FUND TO THE CITY’S CAPITAL FUNDS BY THE ISSUANCE OF NOT MORE THAN \$3,268,000 BONDS AND NOTES THEREFOR”**

On motion by Councilwoman O’Hara, seconded by Councilwoman McFarland, it was voted to approve the above Ordinance.

Under Discussion:

On motion by Councilwoman O’Hara, seconded by Councilman McDonough, it was voted to make the following amendments: Add new Section 12 to read as follows – “The Administration is hereby authorized and directed to retain a qualified firm to conduct a performance audit to ascertain final construction costs prior to the borrowing of the monies authorized” and 12 becomes 13. Motion passed on a vote of 7-1. The following being recorded as voting “aye”: Councilman Fung, Councilwoman O’Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilwoman McFarland, Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore –7. The following being recorded as voting “nay”: Councilman Jackvony –1.

On motion by Councilwoman O’Hara, seconded by Councilman Lanni, it was voted to make the following amendments: amounts in Section 1, 2, 3, 10, 11 and title be changed from \$3,268,000 to \$2,727,947. Motion passed on a vote of 5-3. The following being recorded as voting “aye”: Councilwoman O’Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore –5. The following being recorded as voting “nay”: Councilmen Fung, Jackvony and Councilwoman McFarland – 3.

On motion by Councilwoman McFarland, seconded by Councilman McDonough, it was voted to make the following amendment: strike out from the title and in Section 1: “and to repay advances from the City’s General Fund to the City’s Capital Funds”. Motion passed on a vote of 8-0. The following being recorded as voting “aye”: Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Councilwoman O’Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilwoman McFarland, Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore –8.

Meeting recessed.

Meeting reconvened.

-MAY 1, 2003-

On motion to amend by Councilwoman O'Hara, seconded by Councilman Lanni, it was voted to make the following amendments: amend amounts from \$3,268,000 to \$2,727, 947 in the title, Sections 1, 2, 3, 10 and 11 and add new Section 12 and Section 12 becomes 13.

Under Discussion

Councilman Fung stated that he will be voting in favor of this motion because this needs to be done now. Councilman Jackvony stated that he wishes we did not have to capitalize more funds. He will vote on this in favor with some protesting and we are limiting ourselves. Councilwoman McFarland questioned what part of the budget these funds will be derived from. She stated that this question has not been answered and we need to go forward. This is why she voted against it initially. It is a shame that we have to take a recess and make amendments to get this passed. Council Member Fogarty stated that Mr. Grimes assured her that this \$40,000 for special election can be found in other areas of the Budget. The \$540,000 we do not know that that is an expense that will be incurred. We cannot ask the voters to pass more then is necessary. Ms. Alston stated that if you are interested in the \$540,000, we could go into Executive Session to discuss why these fees may be necessary.

Motion to amend passed on a vote of 7-0 with 1 abstention. The following being recorded as voting "aye": Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Councilwoman O'Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilmen Ferolito, Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore -7. Councilwoman McFarland abstained.

On motion by Councilwoman O'Hara, seconded by Council Member Fogarty, the above Ordinance was adopted as amended on a vote of 8-0. The following being recorded as voting "aye": Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Councilwoman O'Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore -8.

**"RESOLUTION LOAN ORDER AMENDING LOAN ORDER NO. 2001-69  
AUTHORIZING THE ISSUE OF BONDS OF THE CITY FOR THE  
RENOVATIONS, REHABILITATION, REPAIR, IMPROVEMENT,  
FURNISHING AND EQUIPPING OF SCHOOLS AND SCHOOL FACILITIES  
INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ADDITIONS TO CRANSTON HIGH  
SCHOOL EAST AND THE WESTERN HILLS MIDDLE SCHOOL AND  
IMPROVEMENTS TO THE PARK VIEW MIDDLE SCHOOL IN THE CITY:  
AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO APPLY FOR FEDERAL AND STATE  
ADVANCES FOR THAT PURPOSE: AUTHORIZING THE ISSUE OF  
TEMPORARY NOTES FOR THAT PURPOSE: AND AUTHORIZING  
ADVANCES FROM THE GENERAL TREASURY FOR THAT PURPOSE".**

On motion by Councilwoman O'Hara, seconded by Councilman Lanni, it was voted to approve the above Resolution.

On motion by Councilwoman O'Hara, seconded by Councilman Lanni, it was voted to make the following amendments: change amounts in sections 1, 2 and 4 to \$13,522,000.

On motion by Councilman Jackvony, seconded by Councilman McDonough, it was voted to table this Resolution. Motion passed on a vote of 5-3. The following being recorded as voting "aye": Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Council Member Fogarty, Councilmen Lanni and McDonough -5. The following being recorded as voting "nay": Councilwomen O'Hara and McFarland and Council President Pastore -3.

-MAY 1, 2003-

Councilman Jackvony stated that the reason he wanted to have this Resolution tabled is that what we have done tonight with the Ordinance is taking the first step. By passing this Resolution, we are effectively taking \$343,000 off the table to be used for this bond.

On motion to reconsider by Councilman Lanni, seconded by Council Member Fogarty, it was voted to reconsider the tabling of this Resolution. Motion passed on a vote of 8-0. The following being recorded as voting "aye": Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Councilwoman O'Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilwoman McFarland, Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore –8.

On motion by Councilman Jackvony, seconded by Councilman McDonough, it was voted to table this Resolution on a vote of 8-0. The following being recorded as voting "aye": Councilmen Fung, Jackvony, Councilwoman O'Hara, Council Member Fogarty, Councilwoman McFarland, Councilmen Lanni, McDonough and Council President Pastore –8.

The meeting recessed at 10:00 P.M.

Rosalba Zanni  
Assistant City Clerk/Clerk of Committees

Maria Medeiros Wall  
City Clerk

(See Stenographic notes of Ron Ronzio, Stenographer).